1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Charles Guy Garten, 5 No. CV-13-00227-PHX-ROS 6 Petitioner, **ORDER** 7 v. 8 Arizona, State of, et al., 9 Respondents. 10 11 Before the Court is a report and recommendation from the Magistrate Judge, (Doc. 12 18), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(b)(1), Fed. R. Civ. P. No objection 13 to the report and recommendation was filed and the time for doing so has expired. See 14 Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). 15 The court accepts the recommended decision of the United States Magistrate 16 Judge within the meaning of Rule 8(b), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases. 17 Accordingly, 18 **IT IS ORDERED** the Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 19 6) is **DENIED.** 20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Certificate of Appealability and leave to 21 proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is **DENIED** because dismissal of the Second 22 Amended Petition is justified by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not 23 find the procedural ruling debatable. 24 DATED this 10th day of October, 2014. 25 26 Honorable Roslyn O. S. Senior United States District Judge 27

28